Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/038,639	SCHERER ET ÄL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Donald Heckenberg	1722
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Julie Daulton (Applicant's Rep.).	(3)	
(2) <u>Donald Heckenberg (PTO Exmr.)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part i.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
N/A		
Claims discussed:		
24 and 64	- 1	-
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		•
Part II:		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
•		
(Examiner/SE Signature)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Exmr. Heckenberg noted that claim 64 now only recited subject matter that is duplicative of matter recited in claim 24, from which claim 64 depends. Exmr. Heckenberg therefore proposed Examiner's Amendment canceling claim 64, to which Ms. Daulton agreed.